

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/400,833	09/21/1999	AKIO NAKAJIMA	991059	3858
23850	7590 09/26/2003			
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			EXAMINER	
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000	,		FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	クワ
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ	K				
	Applic	eation No.	Applicant(s)	1				
		0,833	NAKAJIMA, AKIO					
Office Action Summar	Y Exami	n r	Art Unit					
	Omar	Flores-Sánchez	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>26 August 2</u>	<u> 2003</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,19 and 20</u> is/are p	ending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreig</li><li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl</li></ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revious Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 8/26/03.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 20,2,3 and 19 are objected to for incongruous titling. The title of the claims is for a workpiece transfer device, but the body of the claims recites much more than the workpiece transfer device. The claim titles are directed to a transfer device, but in addition to the transfer device, claims 20 also recites a workpiece machining device and a workpiece storage device.

These additional recited elements are considered to be part of the claimed invention as per In re

Larsen (see MPEP 2173.05(f)), and thus the title of the claim is wrong. A better title might be "a workpiece machining, transfer and storage device". The generic "a machine" would also be acceptable. Correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akimoto et al.

Art Unit: 3724

Akimoto discloses the (Fig. 1-9) the invention including a movement means 62, workpiece-machining device 10, a workpiece-storage device 1, a traveling body 32, a rectangular coordinate movement means 31, a gripping means 30, a product-housing section 3, a material housing section 2, additional housing that is capable of storing skeletons as seen in figures 1 and 8, a first direction Y and a second direction X.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-3, 19 and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Asai et al., Han et al. and Schinzel are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

Friday, September 19, 2003

KENNETH E. PETERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER